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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Oral corticosteroids are the cornerstone of acute asthma management in the emergency department. Recent evidence has raised doubts about the efficacy of this treatment in preschool-aged children with viral-induced wheezing and in smoking adults. The aims of the study were to: (1) document the magnitude of response to oral corticosteroids in children presenting to the emergency department with moderate or severe asthma; (2) quantify potential determinants of response to corticosteroids; and (3) explore the role of gene polymorphisms associated with the responsiveness to corticosteroids.

Methods and analysis: The design is a prospective cohort study of 1008 children aged 1–17 years meeting a strict definition of asthma and presenting with a clinical score of ≥4 on the validated Pediatric Respiratory Assessment Measure. All children will receive standardised severity-specific treatment with prednisone/prednisolone and cointerventions (salbutamol with/without ipratropium bromide). Determinants, namely viral aetiology, environmental tobacco smoke and single nucleotide polymorphism, will be objectively documented. The primary efficacy endpoint is the failure of emergency department (ED) management within 72 h of the ED visit. Secondary endpoints include other measures of asthma severity and time to recovery within 7 days of the index visit. The study has 80% power for detecting a risk difference of 7.5% associated with each determinant from a baseline risk of 21%, at an α of 0.05.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The proposed work will be the largest published cohort study exploring determinants of responsiveness to oral corticosteroids in children treated according to evidence-based acute asthma guidelines.
- The documentation of exposure using biomarkers to confirm parental reports enhances accuracy and precision of the determinants.
- The selection of a primary endpoint, that is, failure of emergency department (ED) management, the only clinical outcome that can be documented in all patients irrespective of age, carries enormous weight for modifying practice.
- A prospective cohort study is subject to potential biases inherent to this design. Loss to follow-ups will be quasi non-existent for the main and most secondary outcomes due to the short duration of follow-up in the emergency department. Confounding by indication will be minimised by the standardised severity-specific therapy.
- The inherent variability of admission and assessment of the Paediatric Respiratory Assessment Measure add noise to the data, which was taken into account in the sample size.

Results: Results will be disseminated at international conferences and manuscripts targeted at emergency physicians, paediatricians, geneticists and respirologists.

Trial registration number: This study is registered at Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02013076).

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is the most common chronic disease in childhood. It affects over half a million Canadian children aged 4–11 years. Of all
respiratory illnesses, asthma is one of the most frequent paediatric diagnoses requiring hospital admission. The burden of illness is much higher in preschool-aged children, who account for over 50% of emergency department (ED) visits and who have three times the hospital admission rate of older children and adolescents. The difficulty in measuring asthma severity in young children has resulted in a dearth of comparative therapeutic evidence between preschoolers and older children.

**Treatement of acute asthma**

National and international guidelines for the management of acute asthma recommend: (1) inhaled β2-agonists for all patients; (2) systemic (usually oral) corticosteroids for those with moderate and severe asthma; and (3) repeated doses of inhaled β2-agonists and anticholinergics for severe cases. The latter two recommendations independently reduce admission rates by 25% in studies of predominantly school-aged children. Several features must be noted. First, recommendations are severity-specific; patients with mild asthma do not appear to benefit from oral corticosteroids; promising contenders such as high-dose inhaled steroids, intravenous antileukotrienes or magnesium sulfate have been shown to be inferior to oral corticosteroids and are used as an add-on therapy. Fifth, systemic corticosteroids are inexpensive generic drugs. Short treatment with oral corticosteroids is generally devoid of significant side effects, though rare cases of fatal or disseminated varicella have been reported. Sixth, while recommendations are relatively similar in young children, older children and adults, the evidence for the former is weaker due to the under-representation of preschool-aged children in relevant trials. While oral corticosteroids are the cornerstone of management of acute, moderate or severe asthma, several reports have recently shaken the belief that they are equally effective for all patients with asthma, showing that children with viral-induced wheezing and smoking adults are corticosteroid-resistant. Indeed, in a large placebo-controlled randomised controlled trial of 700 children aged 10–60 months with mild-to-moderate viral-induced wheezing, oral corticosteroid was not superior to placebo for reducing the length of stay in hospital or improving the Pediatric Respiratory Assessment Measure (PRAM) clinical score, despite adequate study power. Critics have suggested that: (1) in most children, the disease may not have been asthma (documented in only 16% of patients) but rather the North American definition of bronchiolitis; (2) some patients (with mild asthma) may not have needed corticosteroids; (3) the prolonged stay in hospital did not seem to be supported by severity and (4) the dose of 1 (instead of 2) mg/kg may have been insufficient. Yet, this study elicited major discomfort regarding acute asthma management in young children. In another adequately powered landmark trial, a 2-week treatment with prednisone showed a marked blunting of response in adult smokers, with an improvement in forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) of 237 mL (95% CI 43 to 431) in never-smokers compared to no change or 47 (–148 to 243) mL in current smokers. The question remains as to whether smoking adolescents and children with environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) respond as well as those not exposed. None of these aforementioned findings have been replicated, thus prompting us to review the potential determinants of responsiveness.

**Potential determinants of response**

**Disease diagnosis**

Children with bronchiolitis do not respond to oral corticosteroids, although a recent trial has demonstrated a significant response only in association with nebulised epinephrine. It is thus crucial to distinguish asthma from bronchiolitis in young children. Bronchiolitis is clinically defined in North America as the first wheezing illness in a child ≤12 months, with respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) as the most frequent pathogen. Asthma, defined as airway obstruction (cough, dyspnoea and wheezing) with hyper-reactivity and reversibility with bronchodilator or corticosteroids, begins early in life. While children and adults meeting these criteria can be diagnosed at the first episode, generally three wheezing episodes are required for children ≤12 months to reduce the risk of misclassification with bronchiolitis. Heterogeneous groups of children with bronchiolitis and asthma may explain the poor response to oral corticosteroids in studies including infants and toddlers. Thus, an operational definition of asthma, clinically applicable to children aged 1–17 years, is needed to reasonably exclude bronchiolitis.

**Upper respiratory tract infections**

Upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs), usually viral in origin, are the most frequent (60–80%) triggers of asthma exacerbation in children. RSV, param-influenza virus and rhinovirus are frequently implicated in children under 2 years of age, while picornavirus, coronavirus and influenza are usually associated with asthma in older children. The incidence of viral-induced asthma peaks in September continues throughout the fall and winter. In adults with acute asthma, viral infection is associated with longer hospital admission and increased sputum neutrophils, suggesting a predominantly neutrophilic airway inflammation. In a study of children aged 3–36 months, those infected with rhinovirus showed fewer relapses when treated with oral prednisone compared to placebo, suggesting that rhinovirus did not impair responsiveness to steroids. In another
Exposure to tobacco smoke
In addition to the aforementioned trial demonstrating no response to oral corticosteroids in asthmatic adult smokers,22 a blunted response to inhaled corticosteroids was also documented in adult smokers in two randomised controlled trials.42 43 While the mechanism behind the lack of response is not known, one can certainly point to smoking's direct toxicity, proinflammatory action or interference with the transcription of genes associated with corticosteroid response.22 Indeed, smoking has frequently been associated with airway neutrophilia.44 In paediatrics, exposure to tobacco smoke has been associated with a higher incidence of URTIs and prevalence of asthma, and a greater severity of exacerbations.45 However, the impact on the therapeutic response has not been documented in children, as asthma trials have not examined or failed to report subgroup analyses on ETS exposure or active smoking. Heavier ETS exposure in preschoolers who spend more time at home than school-aged children46 47 may explain a poorer response in young children. Objective documentation of smoking would also be important if possible, even before high school where many already smoke.48 Of particular concern is whether a blunted response to oral corticosteroids would be found in children exposed to tobacco smoke and adolescents with a short history of active smoking. With 25% of asthmatic children exposed to ETS and 25% of teenagers actively smoking,49–51 such an assessment appears critical.

Several reports highlight the need for objective measurement of nicotine exposure, because of parental under-reporting of their child’s exposure to ETS. Cotinine, a nicotine metabolite with a half-life of 20 h, is a widely accepted indicator of recent tobacco use and exposure.55 Serum cotinine requires blood sampling, a major deterrent for study participation in paediatrics.57,58 Good correlations exist between serum and either salivary (r=0.71) or urine (r=0.69) cotinine measures.53 With a 1:10 ratio of cotinine level between saliva and urine,54 passive exposure may lead to saliva levels of 5–10 ng/mL55 56 and urine levels of 10–100 ng/mL.45 However, as urine sampling in young children may not occur for several hours and is more complex to obtain in children not yet toilet-trained, saliva cotinine measured by the quantitative enzyme immunoassay kit is a well-validated, non-invasive solution that offers required precision with minimal volume and a detectable level of 0.05 ng/mL56 57.

Other determinants
A number of other factors could possibly modulate the responsiveness to oral corticosteroids including, among others, gender,4 race,56 59 perceived asthma phenotype (derived from age, common asthma triggers and interim symptoms),31 60 61 inhaled corticosteroids,2 73 74 allergens, the alleged trigger,63 and other environmental triggers, all of which can be documented clinically by questionnaire.

Mechanistic pathways
Two promising mechanistic pathways may explain the variations in the magnitude of response observed in clinical effectiveness, namely (1) gene polymorphisms that may reveal potential gene–environment interactions and (2) the type of airway inflammation.

Gene polymorphisms
There is increasing evidence that inherited genes are not a deterministic genotype, but rather a genotype that encodes a potential range of phenotypes that will develop in response to a variety of environments.64 Consequently, a minor polymorphism between individuals in genes that modulate response to corticosteroids may predispose some people to environmentally induced problems, such as smoke-induced or viral-induced asthma.65 66 Two major groups of genes are of interest: (1) those affecting susceptibility to asthma and (2) those directly interfering with response to corticosteroids by coding for major components of the pathway involved in corticosteroid action (table 1). In the first group, we selected eight polymorphisms in seven genes that can be divided into those coding for xenobiotic metabolising enzymes and those coding for mediators of inflammation and immunity, specifically the ones demonstrated to affect lung function, disease severity and interaction with exposure to ETS.67 68 We selected polymorphisms affecting gene function, top-ranking single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in a number of associated studies. Transforming growth factor β single-nucleotide polymorphisms were found to correlate with disease severity69; CC16 polymorphisms play a role in the development and persistence of the asthma phenotype in childhood70; CD14 polymorphisms have been linked to pathogenesis of asthma and lung function in smokers.71 The ORMDL3 gene confers susceptibility to early-onset asthma, particularly through interaction with early life exposure to ETS72 73; GSTM1 and GSTP1 are involved in the metabolism of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon derivatives and reactive oxygen species74 75; GSTM1 null and GSTP1 genotypes have been associated with an increased risk of asthma26 and rapid decline of lung function among smokers.76 The ADRB2 receptor gene was found to contribute to the occurrence of wheeze among children who were exposed to tobacco smoke in utero and in early childhood77 and was related to β2-agonist response.68 78 Among genes affecting the corticosteroid and inflammatory
pathways, we selected genes (CHRH1, TBX21, FCER2, GLCCI1, STIP1 and SERPINE1) that were shown to correlate with response to corticosteroids in patients with asthma; the finding for some of them was replicated in several cohorts. All selected polymorphisms with the gene name, position and corresponding reference are summarised in table 1. Genotyping is essential to link the observed corticosteroid response to genotype and to evaluate the potential host–environment interaction.

Airway inflammation
Induced sputum is a valid, reproducible and non-invasive method of assessing the magnitude and pattern of airway inflammation in adults and children. Sputum cell counts and differentials (ie, eosinophils and neutrophils) determine the inflammatory phenotype; analysis of supernatants for eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), interleukin-8 (IL-8) and myeloperoxidase provides measures of cellular activation. Sputum eosinophils and ECP increase with exposure to allergens and decrease with corticosteroid treatment. A higher proportion of neutrophils is associated with smoking and with viral infection. The non-eosinophilic inflammatory phenotype in adults has been associated with poor response to corticosteroids. Markers of this phenotype include an increased proportion of neutrophils, IL-8 and myeloperoxidase, all of which are easily quantifiable. Contrary to adult findings and criteria, three distinct inflammatory cell patterns have been reported during paediatric exacerbations: non-eosinophil (<2.5% eosinophils) in 22%, eosinophilic (≥2.5% eosinophils) in 43% and combined eosinophil/neutrophilic (≥2.5% eosinophils and >54% neutrophils) in 33%, with paucigranular inflammation not described in acute paediatric asthma. Combined eosinophilic/neutrophilic exacerbations show more mast cells and higher sputum ECP levels than eosinophilic exacerbations. There is increasing evidence that eosinophilic asthma is more responsive to corticosteroids than non-eosinophilic asthma; a promising mechanistic pathway to explore.

Expired nitric oxide (eNO) is increasingly recognised as a non-invasive marker of airway inflammation, particularly in atopic asthma, and correlates strongly with the percentage of airway eosinophils (r=0.78) and ECP (r=0.53) in children with asthma. Although the understanding about the link between NO and airway inflammation remains incomplete, airway epithelial cells activated by inflammatory cytokines produce an increased amount of eNO due to the expression of inducible NO synthase. eNO is elevated in untreated asthma and improves with asthma therapy. Of interest, eNO correlates with other markers of eosinophilic inflammation, including sputum eosinophils. Thus, eNO appears to reflect the magnitude of eosinophilic airway inflammatory and may serve as a promising biomarker of eosinophilic inflammation in children too young or unable to cooperate with induced sputum sampling. As it can be measured in preschool-aged using an offline technique and in older children using commercially available instruments, it could serve as a biomarker of subsequent response to corticosteroids if indeed the type of airway inflammation modulates response.

### Table 1 Summary of the polymorphisms in subset of candidate genes of relevance for asthma phenotype and corticosteroids response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Position/SNP annotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β11</td>
<td>Promoter</td>
<td>C-509T rs1800469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD141</td>
<td>Coding</td>
<td>T869C rs1982073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC161</td>
<td>Coding</td>
<td>C-159T rs2569190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRB21</td>
<td>5’UTR</td>
<td>A38G rs3741240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1*</td>
<td>Coding</td>
<td>Arg16Gly rs1042713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTP1*</td>
<td>Gene deletion</td>
<td>GSTM1 null genotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORMDL3*</td>
<td>Intrinsic</td>
<td>lle105Val rs1695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRHR1†</td>
<td>Intrinsic</td>
<td>A/G rs242941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBX21†</td>
<td>Promoter</td>
<td>H33Q rs2240017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCER2†</td>
<td>Intrinsic</td>
<td>T2206C rs28364072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLCCI1†</td>
<td>5’UTR</td>
<td>C/T rs37972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINE1†</td>
<td>Promoter</td>
<td>–675 4G/5G rs1799889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIP1†</td>
<td>UTR</td>
<td>G/T rs4980524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIP1†</td>
<td>UTR</td>
<td>rs2236467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Relevance for asthma phenotype. †Relevance for corticosteroid response. SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

The need for hospital admission is a powerful marker of therapy failure, likely to alter physicians’ practice and

Selection of outcomes
Healthcare service utilisation
influence decision makers as hospital costs alone account
for 43% of total asthma costs.109 Although subject to prac-
tice variation, a physician’s decision to admit is usually
based on an unsatisfactory response to bronchodilators
and systemic corticosteroids in the ED, indicating severe
asthma or poor response to corticosteroids.22 Admission
may be affected by other reasons such as parental anxiety,
distance or fatigue and, of course, availability of ED and
hospital beds.50 Adding a cut-off length of ED stay, above
which a patient is considered admitted, limits the impact
of external factors (bed availabilities) which may vary
widely within and between institutions. Moreover, incor-
porating return visits resulting in admission provides an
additional measure of failure of ED treatment by adding a
measure of the decision appropriateness. Time to meet
severity criteria for discharge (PRAM <4) and length of
active treatment are two additional measures of interest
that are less influenced by factors other than severity.

Indicators of airway dysfunction
Accurate and objective assessment of the severity of airway
obstruction is clearly the biggest challenge in acute paedi-
atriatric asthma research. Standard lung function tests, such
as spirometry, require a forced expiratory manoeuvre that
is extremely difficult and unreliable for preschool-aged
children because of poor coordination; it is not obtainable
in 35–50% of acutely ill school-aged children because of
illness severity and/or poor familiarity with technique.110–
112 About three quarters of asthmatic children cannot
perform standard lung function tests in the ED setting.113
In contrast, respiratory resistance (Rrs) by forced oscilla-
tion is an effort-independent measure that can be
obtained in untrained, acutely ill children aged ≥3 years.110
We and others have demonstrated the reproducibility and
sensitivity to change Rrs114 and established reference
values for Canadian children.115 116 Thus, the measure of
Rrs can serve as a precise and reliable index of severity and
response in acutely ill children, although still missing very
young or uncooperative preschoolers and as such cannot
serve as the main outcome.

Clinical scores appear to be a reasonable alternative to
lung function testing as they can be used in children of all
ages. Although many clinical scores have been designed,
only two have been validated for use in both preschool-aged
and school-aged children.112 117 The PRAM is the only score
developed and validated against a concurrent measure of lung
function in children aged 3–6 years,117 and subsequently validated in children aged 1–17 years.25 It is a discriminative and responsive tool, with a
change in scores ≥5 indicating clinical importance.25 117
The inter-rater reliability is consistently above 70%.25 The
12-point PRAM, rating five weighted items (oxygen satu-
ration, suprasternal retractions, scalene muscle contraction,
air entry and wheezing), has been used in landmark clin-
ical trials.21 It can be used to assess severity at baseline
(mild: PRAM 0–3; moderate: PRAM 4–7; severe: PRAM
8–12), improvement with treatment depicted as the area
under the curve, need for admission (PRAM ≥4, 4 h after
the oral corticosteroids) and time until ready for discharge
delay until PRAM <4).23

Indicators of recovery following discharge
Among children discharged home, the time to complete
recovery will vary widely between individuals. Although
symptom scores are frequently used in clinical trials, only
four have been specifically developed for use in children.
59 70 71 The Asthma flare-up diary for young children
(formerly the Pediatric Asthma Diary) is the only one vali-
dated to detect day-to-day change in the functional status
of preschool-aged children following an acute care visit;118
it is highly sensitive to detect group differences in intensity
and duration of symptoms in randomised controlled trials;
however, its performance in school-aged children has not
been explored.119 Another diary has been validated for
detecting change in school-aged children but not in the
context of acutely ill children.120 Use of β2-agonists is an
additional marker for the duration and intensity of symp-
toms, as greater use of rescue relievers is expected to
occur in children with more symptoms.119 Finally, the
impact of the disease on the quality of life of the patient or
the caregivers is a recognised, sensitive and unique marker
of the burden of disease on the patient or family.121
Several well-validated asthma-specific quality of life instru-
ments are available for adults122 and school-aged children
with stable asthma.123 To our knowledge, only one instru-
ment, entitled ‘Effects of a child’s asthma flare-up on
parents’, is available for measuring the burden of disease
on parents of preschool-aged children following an
asthma exacerbation; we have developed the instrument
using Krishner and Guyatt’s124 approach for developing
health instruments, validated it in a randomised controlled
trial119 and received two copyrights (French version: #1019528
English version: #1019529 (manuscript in
preparation).

Hypothesis
In children presenting with moderate or severe asthma
to the ED:
1. Preschool age, specific viral triggers and exposure to
tobacco smoke are independently associated with
increased risk of failure of ED management (risk dif-
ference (RD) ≥7.5%).
2. Specific genetic polymorphisms alone or in associ-
lation with environmental factors are associated with
higher risk of admission.
3. An eosinophilic pattern of airway inflammation (ie,
percentage of eosinophils on induced sputum and/or
baseline eNO) is associated with greater clinical
improvement as measured by the PRAM area under
the curve over 4 h (nested cohort).

OBJECTIVES
The main objective was to identify and quantify clinically
available factors (eg, age, specific viral trigger and ETS)
associated with failure of ED management and other

markers of clinical response to oral corticosteroids in preschool-aged and school-aged children presenting to the ED with moderate or severe asthma.

Secondary objectives
1. Examine the association between specific genetic polymorphisms (including potential host–environment interactions) and markers of response.
2. Determine whether the type of airway inflammation (ie, eosinophilic and eNO) is associated with the magnitude of response to corticosteroids and which host or episode characteristics are associated with a specific type of inflammation.

METHODS
Design
The study design is a multicentre prospective cohort study with a standard intervention involving 1008 children aged 1–17 years of age presenting with acute asthma to one of the five participating Canadian paediatric EDs. The study includes a nested cohort study of children who presented at selected centres and who could cooperate with eNO measurements (about 200) or induced sputum (about 60 children aged ≥8 years) to explore the pattern of airway inflammation.

Subjects
Participants will be eligible if they: (1) are aged 1–17 years; (2) have asthma, defined as: (i) prior diagnosis of asthma made by a physician; (ii) prior documented episode of acute cough, wheezing and/or dyspnoea with significant response to inhaled β₂-agonists or to oral corticosteroids; (iii) in a child aged <2 years, three or more episodes of cough, wheezing and/or dyspnoea, including the index visit or (iv) previous lung function tests showing significant reversibility postbronchodilation (≥12% FEV₁ or ≥25% Rrs at 4–8 Hz) or a positive provocation test (PC₂₀ ≤8 mg/mL or provocation dose (to increase Rrs by 50% or more (PD₅₀) ≤8 mg/mL), in keeping with the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society criteria; (3) present with an acute episode of cough, wheezing and/or dyspnoea; (4) have moderate-to-severe airway obstruction, defined as a PRAM score >3 at baseline; (5) have a good understanding of English or French and (6) are accompanied by parents or legal guardians.

Patients will be excluded if they present: (1) with another chronic respiratory condition (such as bronchopulmonary dysplasia or cystic fibrosis); (2) with a reasonable suspicion of bronchiolitis or foreign body aspiration; (3) a history of hypersensitivity to salbutamol, ipratropium bromide or oral prednisolone; (4) a relative or absolute contraindication to receiving oral corticosteroids such as recent exposure to varicella or live vaccine in a susceptible child or (5) with confirmed or suspected pregnancy status. As some inclusion and exclusion criteria may become evident during or after the ED stay (ie, pneumonia), a central adjudicating committee comprising a paediatrician/ED physician and respiratory will review the eligibility criteria of children who, after enrolment, appear to be ineligible due to the failure to meet one or more inclusion or exclusion criteria and who did not receive or tolerate oral corticosteroids as recommended in the protocol. The main trigger for identifying these children will be the ED physician’s low degree of confidence that this child had asthma, suspicion that he/she may have bronchiolitis and the suspicion that he/she did not retain the oral corticosteroids. In this case, the relevant case report forms, relevant anonymised laboratory testing (ie, imaging, microbiology, virology and haematology) and relevant anonymised medical chart sections will be reviewed by the adjudicating committee. The adjudicating committee will be central, although we are not ruling out the possibility of creating local committees at each site, depending on the volume of cases to review. In such a case, the site would be added as a covariate in the analysis. Disagreement will be resolved by consensus or the assistance of a third observer. The proportion of excluded children, assumed to be around 10%, will be monitored and reported.

Standardised acute treatment protocol
As per the evidence-based paediatric national and international guidelines, children will be treated according to a standardised severity-specific protocol (table 1). All children will receive 2 mg/kg (maximum 50 mg) of oral prednisolone (or prednisone) within 60 min of triage, along with inhaled salbutamol with or without inhaled ipratropium bromide as per severity strata. Discharge medications will include a 5-day course of oral prednisolone at a dose of 1 mg/kg/day and inhaled β₂-agonists as needed. Cointerventions, such as add-on therapy with magnesium sulfate in the ED or inhaled corticosteroids at discharge, will be permitted and recorded. Comorbidities (eg, pneumonia, sinusitis, allergic rhinitis, etc) and adverse health events will be documented.

Measurements of effectiveness
The primary outcome, serving as a proxy for suboptimal response, is the failure of ED management defined as a hospital admission for asthma (as primary or secondary diagnosis if the primary diagnosis is a complication or comorbidity of asthma) or ED stay for asthma (with active treatment) of ≥8 h after intake of oral corticosteroids or a return visit within 72 hours meeting either of the two previous criteria (admission or length of active treatment ≥8 hours). The 8 h time constraint was included to account for extraneous factors affecting admissions, such as variation in bed availability while the child remains under treatment on site.

Secondary measures of effectiveness in the ED include: (1) meeting the severity criteria for admission, that is, a PRAM score ≥4 within 4 h of corticosteroid administration (to account for other reasons for hospital
admission); (2) the PRAM profile in the ED, measured hourly from baseline and every hour until disposition (or until 4 h after intake of oral corticosteroids, whichever occurs first) and reported as the area under the curve; (3) time to PRAM ≤3, that is, meeting the criteria for discharge; (4) length of active treatment defined as duration between the first and last salbutamol inhalation. Finally, to allow more objective and precise quantification of response in a nested cohort (CHU Ste-Justine), (5) change in Rrs between baseline and disposition will be documented on the MasterScreen Impulse Oscillometry (Cardinal Health Canada, Montreal, Canada) using predocumented on the MasterScreen Impulse Oscillometry change in Rrs between baseline and disposition will be documented on the MasterScreen Impulse Oscillometry (Cardinal Health Canada, Montreal, Canada) using predefined techniques in cooperative children aged ≥3 years, (6) change in eNO between baseline and disposition will be documented on a chemiluminescence NO analyser in cooperative children aged ≥4 years and (7) hospital admission for asthma (as primary or secondary diagnosis) within 72 h or an active ED stay of ≥8 h after intake of oral corticosteroids.

Secondary measures of resolution of exacerbation measured after discharge will be documented over the next 10 days in all patients: (8) unscheduled visits for asthma as reported by parents and confirmed by medical charts; (9) cumulative symptom score and duration of symptoms measured daily on the validated Asthma flare-up diary for young children (formerly named the Paediatric Asthma Diary)118, (10) parent quality of life measured on the ‘Effect of a child’s asthma flare-up on parents’ and (11) the cumulative number of puffs and duration of use of rescue β2-agonists as recorded on the Asthma flare-up diary for young children.

**Potential determinants**

**Sociodemographic variables**

Basic characteristics will be documented, including age, gender, neighbourhood financial income derived from six-digit postal codes from both parents (if separated), medical insurance (private or public) and ethnicity as reported by the parents and classified as per the latest Canadian Census questionnaire.

**Phenotype and morbidity**

Children’s asthma phenotype will be documented as per the latest international recommendations (ie, viral induced, exercise induced, allergen induced and multiple trigger).34 Prior morbidity (admissions, ED visits and rescue oral corticosteroids), asthma control using the Asthma Quiz for Kidz,128 reported use of daily controller medications, environmental factors (eg, dust, animals and pollen) and perceived trigger of the exacerbation will be documented. Prior allergy test results, serum IgE (specific) will be obtained (with permission) as well as pollen counts in the area.

**Viral trigger**

Acknowledging viruses as the major trigger, all children will be tested for respiratory viruses. All children will have a nasopharyngeal aspirate or nasopharyngeal swab (Flocked swab, Copan Diagnostics, California, USA) performed. The aspirate or swab will be put in 3 mL of viral transport media (UTM, Copan Diagnostics, California, USA) and split in half on site. The first half will be tested using routine methods in each site if clinically required and the other half will be frozen at −80°C for molecular diagnosis. The frozen samples will be processed using the validated automated microarray detection,129 which tests for 23 common respiratory viruses, including the novel influenza A/H1N1/Mexico.

**Mechanistic pathway**

**Genetic profile**

Patients’ DNA will be extracted from saliva expectoration or collected on Sorbettes designed for young children, with only a small amount (1–2 mL) needed for DNA analysis. After amplification by PCR, key polymorphisms352–353 will be determined by high throughput genotyping technology (Sequenom platform) for custom SNP panels.

eNO will be measured on a chemiluminescence analyser (the Niox Flex or the portable Niox Mino from Aerocrine, New Providence, New Jersey, USA or Sievers from GE Analytic Instrument, Boulder, Colorado, USA), using standardised techniques98 in cooperative children aged ≥4 years; the measurements will be taken before corticosteroids and at 4 h or disposition, whichever occurs first. In children unable to cooperate with these techniques, the eNO will also be measured, using the single breath manoeuvre, in an inert balloon (offline technique) and then analysed on the chemiluminescence analyser.105 106

**Induced sputum**

After the initial salbutamol inhalation, children aged ≥7 years will be asked to expectorate spontaneously or, if
unsuccessful, receive a nebulisation of 0.9% saline solution over 7–10 min at 5 L/min with oxygen. With this technique, a 70% success rate was obtained among acutely ill school-aged asthmatic children.134–136 Throughout the induction, lung function tests using Rrs will be documented to ensure that the coughing effort does not induce bronchospasm.134 Sputum will be processed according to standard techniques within 8 h. Briefly, sputum will be separated from the saliva and dispersed using dithiothreitol. The dispersed suspension is then centrifuged and filtered; cytospin slides will be prepared on site by a trained medical technologist, and the supernatant frozen at −70°C for subsequent quantification of inflammatory mediators. Differential cell counts and inflammatory mediators will each be analysed by an independent laboratory, blinded to exposure and outcome.

Procedures
Within each institution, potentially eligible patients aged 1–17 years will be identified on arrival in the ED. As per standard practice in each of the participating hospitals, all children will be triaged and scored on the PRAM at or shortly after triage. The first inhalation of salbutamol and, for severe exacerbations, ipratropium bromide will be administered (figure 1). Using a two-step informed consent, parents will first give their authorisation for their child to receive standardised severity-specific treatment and, at the CHU Ste-Justine, lung function testing and induced sputum in cooperative children. Parents will then receive a detailed explanation of the study and be offered study participation. Participants will receive the treatment and measures detailed in figure 1, with key measurements obtained prior to corticosteroids.

Statistical analyses
Standard summary statistics (N, mean, SD, median, minimum and maximum for continuous variables; N and proportion for categorical variables) will be computed for all variables for the whole cohort and by institutions. Two-sided 95% CIs will be presented as necessary. For the primary endpoint, failure of ED management and other dichotomous outcomes, bivariate and multivariate logistic regression models will be used to examine the association with each potential determinant and to adjust for site, baseline severity and other relevant covariates of interest. ORs will be estimated and presented with two-sided 95% CIs. Continuous outcomes, such as the area under the curve for repeated PRAM measures, daily Asthma flare-up diary for young children or intensity of both β2-agonist use and symptom scores after discharge, will be analysed using linear regression models. Transformation of variables will be performed if necessary to account for non-normality of the residuals.

With regard to pharmacogenomics, we will compare the frequency of SNPs between patients with versus without failure of ED management using Fisher’s exact tests and examine the strength of the association with failure of ED management with bivariate and multivariate logistic regression. Genotypes will be considered as variables with two (dominant or recessive models) or three (additive model) categories. The choice of the model is determined by the functionality of selected polymorphisms and previous reports. Bonferroni correction will be used to adjust for multiple testing (p=0.005, adjusted for 10 independent genes investigated). Host–environment interaction will be examined by adding other covariates of interest to the genotype model. For example, to investigate genetic heterogeneity according to early-life exposure to ETS, we will introduce markers of tobacco smoke exposure status.

With regard to examining eosinophilic inflammation as a marker of responsiveness, the area of the curve of serial PRAM measurements and time to PRAM <4 will serve as outcomes. Linear regression will be used to examine the bivariate and multivariate relationships between sputum eosinophils counts, patterns of inflammation (eosinophilic, non-eosinophilic and mixed) and baseline eNO with the PRAM profile (area under the curve); the standard survival curve will explore the difference in time to meet criteria for discharge, censored at 4 h.137 All models will be checked using appropriate regression diagnostics. Results will be reported as significant when p<0.05.

Sample size
Sample size was estimated in two steps using hospital admission as the dependent variable in a multivariate logistic regression model. Pilot data were extracted from two large recent chart audits14 138 totalling 1628 children presenting with acute asthma to the ED (in which age, gender, baseline PRAM, timing of oral corticosteroid intake and admission were documented) and two recently completed trials119 139 totalling 518 children, in whom tobacco exposure was also ascertained by questionnaire; we then focused only on children meeting our current eligibility criteria (age, baseline PRAM and corticosteroids within an hour of arrival). Based on the literature, we assumed the prevalence of viral pathogen varied between 60% and 80%.140 141 The baseline risk of admission was 41%; after recruitment of the initial 320 patients, the observed overall risk of admission was substantially lower at 16%, which substantially reduced the effective sample size from 1200 to 800. First, using the Wald test (based on the prevalence of factors and the risk of admission in unexposed children), we calculated the sample size required to detect an RD of admission of 7.5%, taking each determinant in turn as the independent variable in a bivariate logistic regression; we used 95% CIs for each estimate obtained from pilot data to examine the impact on power.142 Then, using the method proposed by Hsieh et al,143 we calculated the inflation factor to adjust the total sample size, based on the observed correlation between key determinants.
As the observed correlation between determinants and severity and any pair of determinants was negligible (β coefficients <0.03), there was no need to inflate the sample size. At an α of 0.05, a sample of 800 children had 80% power to detect an RD of admission of ≥7.5% for all key determinants. We targeted the RD of 7.5%, because it was considered in a survey of researchers of the Pediatric Emergency Research in Canada (PERC) network as a clinically meaningful effect size that would support a practice change. After documenting a 1% loss after enrolment (from 10% originally estimated) and 20% missing key samples (viral or cotinine), our target recruitment sample is 1008 to obtain an effective sample of 800 children. Acknowledging that the majority of selected polymorphisms have a minor allele frequency of at least 30% and using an α of 0.005 (with a Bonferroni correction for 14 polymorphisms), 1008 children will provide 80% power to detect an OR of 1.5 between each polymorphism and admission. Finally, of the expected 400 children recruited at the University Health Centre Sainte-Justine, we estimated that 200 children would cooperate with eNO measurements and 60 with induced sputum. These sample sizes should be adequate to detect a correlation coefficient as low as 0.2–0.35, respectively, with the area under the curve of the PRAM score during the ED with 80% power and a two-sided 0.05 α.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

This study poses little risk to participants, as all children will receive state-of-the-art asthma management with oral corticosteroids, an approach associated with minimal side effects. Children will be assessed for potential contraindication to corticosteroids prior to enrolment. Using a two-step informed consent, parents will first give their authorisation for their child to receive the severity-specific asthma protocol and, at specific centres, lung function testing and induced sputum in cooperative children; then they will consent to the full study including sampling for cotinine, DNA and viruses. All parents will sign an informed consent form authorising the DNA analysis for the specified research purpose as well as future analyses (including biobanking of DNA in anonymised fashion), provided these are approved by the institutional ethics boards. Assent will be obtained from children aged ≥7 years. The collection of saliva by expectoration or sponge is painless and well tolerated by children. Nasopharyngeal aspiration or swab for viral diagnosis is a commonly used procedure in the ED setting; it is rapid and associated with little discomfort. The performance of Rrs and eNO is non-invasive and devoid of serious side effects. Sputum induction with 0.9% saline and salbutamol pretreatment is a safe procedure in the acute care setting. Data safety is maximised through: restricted physical access to computers and electronically protected servers (firewall, restricted password-protected

---

**Figure 1** The treatment protocol is stratified on the severity of asthma exacerbation, that is, moderate or severe, as measured by the validated Pediatric Respiratory Assessment Measure (PRAM). Categories of medications are listed in the three columns. The three horizontal panels describe therapy administered in the first 60 minutes (top panel); after the initial 60 minutes (middle panel); and on discharge (bottom panel).
access, daily back-up and storage in 2 different locations). Confidentiality is also a part of standard operating procedures; a unique research code will be assigned to each patient. All research data and specimens will be kept in locked rooms with restricted access at each site, the coordination centre and the site of analysis. The protocol, consent form and any amendments are subject to the ethics review board approval of each institution. Each investigator will adhere to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All serious adverse effects will be promptly reported.

This study will provide needed answers as to whether oral corticosteroids are less effective for preventing hospital admission in subgroups of children and, if so, which clinically available characteristics could be used to identify patients at risk of poorer response. An impaired response to systemic steroids in certain subgroups, resulting in a higher rate of failure of ED management and/or impaired recovery, would challenge the current standard of practice and call for an immediate search for better approaches. Given the high prevalence of suspected factors (young age (60%), viral infection (60–80%), ETS (20–30%)), the potential impact of poor response on the rate of failure of ED management is sizeable. The exploration of potential host–environment interactions will broaden our understanding of corticosteroid responsiveness in children and enable us to focus on relevant alternative/supplemental strategies to adequately manage these patients. Documentation of the similar effectiveness of systemic corticosteroids across determinants will provide the needed reassurance as to the value of national recommendations for the treatment of all children with acute asthma.

Results will be disseminated at international conferences targeting emergency physicians, paediatricians, geneticists and respirologists. Four manuscripts are envisioned: one pertaining to the identification of main determinants of the risk of failure of ED management within 72 h of the dose of oral corticosteroids (general medical journal); one pertaining to the validation of perceived triggers and clinical predictors of response to therapy during the index exacerbation (emergency medicine journal); one pertaining to the genetic polymorphisms associated with non-response to oral corticosteroids (respirology journal); and one pertaining to the gene–environment interaction modulating the response to corticosteroids in children (pediatric journal).
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